Shipping and Environmental Challenges





Development of World Energy Consumption

MARINTEK

•



| Energy consumption in million | | The World | The European Union | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------|-------|----------------|
| ton oil equivalent units (Mtoe) | 1990 | 2004 | Change in % | 1990 | 2004 | Change in % |
| Electricity generation & heat plants | 2.090 | 3.056 | 52 | 374 | 429 | 15 |
| Industry | 2.134 | 2.510 | 18 | 371 | 378 | 2 |
| Transport | 1.549 | 2.134 | 38 | 279 | 361 | 29 |
| Residential/ Agricultural/ Losses | 2.959 | 3.382 | 24 | 522 | 588 | 13 |
| Total final consumption, energy demand | 8.732 | 11.204 | 28 | 1.546 | 1.756 | 14 |



Second IMO GHG (Greenhouse gas) Study 2009

PHASE 1

- Present day CO₂ emissions inventory
- Estimates of future CO₂ emissions
- Impacts of CO₂ emissions from International shipping on climate
- Comparison with other transport modes

MARINTEK

PHASE 2

- Include also other GHGs
 (CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs, SF₆)
- Include also other relevant substances (NOx, NMVOC, CO, PM, SOx)
- Technology options for emissions reductions
- Policy options for emissions reductions
- Cost benefit/ public health considerations

Scenario Approach

- Based on IPCC SRES storylines
- Changes in economic, technology, and non-GHG regulatory mandates will affect emissions
- Assume no explicit regulatory policies to mitigate CO2





All IPCC scenarios belongs in the upper left square



MARINTEK

SINTEF

Current and future emissions from shipping

Fleet size

MARINTEK

Based on data from Lloyds Register fairplay, ships >100 GT (100 777 ships for mid 2007)

Average activity (Days at sea)

- AIS and other sources (e.g. engine running hours, operators data etc)
- Fleet activity / lay-up

Average power when active

- Fully laden / party laden / ballast only / slow steaming
- Sea margin full rpm 85-90% MCR in calm sea

Specific fuel oil consumption

• Function of engine power and age

Fuel Carbon content

Calculated C:HC mass ratio from IMO expert group (BLG 12/6/INF.10)

Aux consumption: Similar procedure to above. Less accurate data Boiler consumption: Based on IMO expert group assessment



Key Driving Variables

| Category | Variable | Related Elements |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Economy | Shipping transport demand (tonne-miles/year) | Population, global and regional economic growth, modal shifts, sectoral demand shifts. |
| Transport efficiency | Transport efficiency (MJ/tonne-mile) – depends on fleet <i>composition</i> , ship <i>technology</i> and <i>operation</i> | Ship design, propulsion advancements, vessel speed, regulation aimed at achieving other objectives but that have a GHG emissions consequence. |
| Energy | Shipping fuel carbon fraction (gC/MJ fuel energy) | Cost and availability of fuels (e.g., use of residual fuel, distillates, LNG, biofuels, or other fuels). |

Different values applied to three categories of ships:

- Coastwise shipping Ships used in regional (short sea) shipping;
- Ocean-going shipping Larger ships suitable for intercontinental trade; and,
- Container ships (all sizes).
 - MARINTEK



Economic Growth Estimates



Scenario Inputs Summarized as Annual Growth Rates

| | | A1B | A1F | A1T | A2 | B1 | B2 |
|-----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| GDP (1) | | 3.9 % | 4.0% | 3.6 % | 2.4 % | 3.3 % | 2.7 % |
| Total | Base | 3.3 % | 3.3 % | 3.3 % | 2.6 % | 2.5 % | 2.1 % |
| Transport | High | 5.3 % | 5.3 % | 5.4 % | 4.2 % | 4.1 % | 3.5 % |
| Demand | Low | 1.5 % | 1.5 % | 1.5 % | 1.2 % | 1.1 % | 0.9 % |



CO₂ Emissions from International Shipping



Figure 7-7 – Trajectories of the emissions from <u>international</u> shipping. Columns on the right-hand side indicate the range of results for the scenarios within individual scenario families



Increase of fuel consumption from 2007 to 2050 if business as usual (IMO 2009 GHG study)

| Vessel type | 2007 Billion ton miles | 2007 Fuel in million ton | Gram C0 ₂ per ton nm | 2030 Billion ton miles | 2030 Fuel in million ton | 2050 Billion ton miles | 2050 Fuel in million ton |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| General Cargo | 2.382 | 31,7 | 42 | 3.699 | 49 | 5.145 | 68 |
| Dry Bulk | 16.137 | 57,9 | 11 | 25.060 | 90 | 34.856 | 125 |
| Reefer | 258 | 6,9 | 84 | 401 | 11 | 557 | 15 |
| Container | 7.501 | 82,3 | 35 | 22.051 | 242 | 55.807 | 612 |
| Crude oil tankers | 10.061 | 30,8 | 10 | 15.624 | 48 | 21.732 | 67 |
| Oil product tankers | 1.257 | 9,9 | 25 | 1.952 | 15 | 2.715 | 21 |
| Chemical tankers | 1.919 | 15,4 | 25 | 2.980 | 24 | 4.145 | 33 |
| RoRo | 485 | 11,6 | 75 | 753 | 18 | 1.048 | 25 |
| RoPax | 160 | 21,4 | | 248 | 33 | 346 | 46 |
| LNG | 852 | 9,1 | 34 | 1.323 | 14 | 1.840 | 20 |
| LPG | 401 | 4,4 | 35 | 623 | 7 | 866 | 10 |
| Ferry | 10 | 1,8 | | 16 | 3 | 22 | 4 |
| Cruise | 18 | 8,7 | | 28 | 14 | 39 | 19 |
| Yacht | 0,4 | 1,3 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Offshore | 135 | 12,1 | | 210 | 19 | 292 | 26 |
| Service | 86 | 18,0 | | 134 | 28 | 186 | 39 |
| Fishing | 43 | 7,7 | | 67 | 12 | 93 | 17 |
| Sea River | 16 | 0,5 | 98 | 25 | 1 | 35 | 1 |
| Total | 41.721 | 331,5 | 25 | 75.193 | 630 | 129.724 | 1151 |



WRE 450 ppm stabilization pathway (fossil fuel CO₂ emissions)

WRE450 ppm fossil fuel CO₂ emissions pathway





IEA 2030 Bau and 450 ppm

| | 1990 | 2007 | 2030 | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|
| | | | Reference | 450 ppm |
| | | | scenario | scenario |
| Total Energy Demand | 8 761 | 12 013 | 16 790 | 14 390 |
| of which are renewables | 1 124 | 1 515 | 2 376 | 3 159 |
| Energy Releated CO2 emissions | 20 941 | 28 826 | 40 226 | 26 400 |
| Energy Sources | | | | |
| Coal, Gas & Oil (fossile fuel) | 7 111 | 9 789 | 13 457 | 9 805 |
| Nuclear | 526 | 709 | 956 | 1 426 |
| Hydro | 184 | 265 | 402 | 487 |
| Biomasss and waste | 904 | 1 176 | 1 604 | 1 952 |
| Other Renewables | 36 | 74 | 370 | 720 |
| Energy Usage | | | | |
| Power Generation (fossile fuel) | 2 468 | 3 739 | 5 384 | 2 775 |
| Industry | 1 800 | 2 266 | 3 302 | 2 816 |
| Transport | 1 578 | 2 297 | 3 331 | 2 806 |
| Other Sectors | 2 440 | 2 941 | 3 830 | 5 051 |
| Non Energy Use | 475 | 770 | 942 | 942 |



Emissions from shipping up to 2050 with Business as usual and with 450 ppm target





Potential reductions of CO2 emissions from shipping by using known technology and practices

| DESIGN (New ships) | Saving of CO _{2/} tonne-mile | Combined | Combined | |
|--|--|--------------|------------|--|
| Concept, speed & capability | 2% to 50% | | | |
| Hull and superstructure | 2% to 20% | | | |
| Power and propulsion systems | 5% to 15% | 10% to $50%$ | | |
| Low-carbon fuels | 5% to 15% | 10% 10 50% | | |
| Renewable energy | 1% to 10% | | | |
| Exhaust gas CO ₂ reduction | 0% | | 25% to 75% | |
| OPERATION (All ships) | | | | |
| Fleet management, logistics & incentives | 5% to 50% | | | |
| Voyage optimization | 1% to 10% | 10% to 50% | | |
| Energy management | 1% to 10% | | | |



Technical & Operational options for reduction of GHG emissions from ships





Improving energy efficiency – Engine technology and fuels to achieve CO₂ emission reduction

Improving energy efficiency
Renewable energy sources
Fuels with less total fuel-cycle emissions

MARINTEK

Not considered feasible for ships: reduction of emissions through chemical conversion, capture and storage etc.



Improving energy efficiency - Design



Concept, speed & capability

Hull and superstructure

Power and propulsion systems









Improving energy efficiency - Operations



Fleet management, logistics & incentives

Voyage optimization

Energy management





Vessel type with biggest reduction potential both per vessel and in total



Optimizining 80 000 dwt container vessel, both with focus on cost and environmemt

| Engine size | 60.227 |
|--|-----------|
| Average power auxilliary engine | 2.500 |
| Service speed | 25,3 |
| Gram Fuel per kwh | 190 |
| Dwt | 80.084 |
| Load each way | 40.042 |
| MCR at service speed | 90 % |
| MCR in port and slow zones | 10 % |
| Cargo transported per year | 4.100.000 |
| One Way distance | 12.500 |
| Days in port & slow zones per Roundtrip | 13,5 |
| Fuel Cost | 400 |
| Cargo value per ton | 5.000 |
| Interest rate | 5,0 % |
| Emission price CO ₂ per ton | 0 |
| T/C - per day | 30.000 |
| Wind&wave&engine adjust factor low speed | 0,050 |



| | | | Optimized | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | Lowest | cost & | | | Designed |
| | | Emissions | Emissions | Lowest cost | | service speed |
| One way journey in weeks | 17 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 4,5 | 4 |
| Speed | 4,8 | 9,6 | 13,2 | 18,0 | 21,6 | 25,3 |
| Power equal speed in power of three | 110,592 | . 884,736 | 2299,968 | 5832,000 | 10077,696 | 16194,277 |
| Extra Resistance factor waves & wind | 13,01 | 2,17 | 1,38 | 1,10 | 1,03 | 1,00 |
| Hull factor power | 3,35 | 3,35 | 3,35 | 3,35 | 3,35 | 3,35 |
| Basic required power | 4.814 | 6.438 | 10.608 | 21.546 | 34.886 | 54.204 |
| Required Power & Auxillary | 7.314 | 8.938 | 13.108 | 24.046 | 37.386 | 56.704 |
| Roundtrips per year | 1,5 | 2,9 | 3,8 | 4,9 | 5,7 | 6,4 |
| Days at sea per Roundtrip | 217 | 109 | 79 | 58 | 48 | 41 |
| Days in port & slow zones per R.trip | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Days at sea at service speed | 330 | 311 | 299 | 284 | 273 | 264 |
| Days in port & slow zones | 21 | 39 | 51 | 66 | 77 | 87 |
| Days per year | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| Annual fuel per vessel | 11.566 | 13.758 | 19.284 | 32.913 | 48.722 | 70.512 |
| Annual cargo tonnage transported per | 404 700 | 000.044 | 000 540 | 000 440 | 454.070 | 540 500 |
| vessel | 121./28 | 229.841 | 303.518 | 392.412 | 454.076 | 512.538 |
| Number of Vessels needed | 33,7 | 17,8 | 13,5 | 10,4 | 9,0 | 8,0 |
| Annual Fuel Consumption | 389.566 | 245.424 | 260.497 | 343.880 | 439.929 | 564.051 |
| Power per hour in % of MCR | 8,0 % | 10,7 % | 17,6 % | 35,8 % | 57,9 % | 90,0 % |
| CO2 Emissions in ton per Million ton nm | 23,9 | 15,1 | 16,0 | 21,1 | 27,0 | 34,7 |
| Fuel cost per Million ton nm | 3.041 | 1.916 | 2.033 | 2.684 | 3.434 | 4.402 |
| T/C-Cost per Million ton nm | 6.901 | 3.655 | 2.768 | 2.141 | 1.850 | 1.639 |
| Capital cost per Million ton nm | 6.316 | 3.343 | 2.533 | 1.956 | 1.692 | 1.499 |
| Total cost included capital per ton nm | 16.257 | 8.914 | 7.333 | 6.781 | 6.975 | 7.540 |



Overview of policy proposals currently debated by IMO

- A mandatory design index called EEDI which gives specifies the maximum allowed emissions for all new vessels to be built
- An operational indicator called EEOI to measure the real operational performance of all cargo transporting vessels
- A ship energy efficiency management plan called SEEMP which shall be used as a common working tool to make ships more energy efficient.
- A fuel levy or an emission trading scheme which both will make using fuel more expensive since this cost will come on top of today's bunker price.



Energy Efficiency Design Index - EEDI as currently debated by IMO

- Vessels are grouped into vessel types, and for each type the baselines are calculated based on the average of the existing vessels built during the last 10 years.
- Speed is not included in the formula, but since the regression curves are calculated based upon the existing vessel speed for each of the types, the suggested scheme will enable, vessels types which sail fast today, to do the same in the future.
- It's assumed that the thresholds for new vessels to be built will be 100 – 110 % of the baseline for the first 3 to 5 years and within ten years 60 – 80 % of today's baselines
- Grouping all cargo vessels into six groups which are Dry Bulk, Tankers, Gas Carriers, Containers, General Cargo Ships, Ro-Ro cargo ships. The Ro-Ro group might be further divided into three sub groups as proposed on MEPC 59 (volume, weight and car carriers).
- If a vessel can falls between two of these categories the guidelines says that it belongs in the group which gives the strictest requirements (lowest allowable emissions)





() SINTEF











: MARINTEK







EEOI used as an integrated measure with EEDI and SEEMP

